


# The principle of optimism

By [Bronwyn Williams](#)

16 Aug 2021

*The future is open. The possibilities that lie in the future are infinite. When I say 'It is our duty to remain optimists', this includes not only the openness of the future but also that which all of us contribute to it by everything we do: we are all responsible for what the future holds in store. Thus it is our duty not to prophecy evil, but, rather, to fight for a better world - Karl Popper*



Optimism is, sadly, out of fashion. Everywhere I look, I see lonely optimists being insulted for their 'stupidity' in the face of what their critics believe is a hopeless situation; and even being accused of causing some sort of harm, by their rejection or questioning of the doomsday prophecies that are all so popular right now. Conversely, pessimism can be quite a *profitable* strategy for scientists, economists and politicians looking to build a name for themselves.

As the evolutionary scientists and author Matt Ridley says, "You don't get blamed for being too pessimistic, but you do get attention. It's like climate science. Modelled forecasts of a future that is scary is much more likely to get you on television."

Even worse, pessimism can cause self-fulfilling prophecy feedback loops. After all, in life, as in business, it is very easy to prove predictions of failure correct; all you have to do is *nothing*, fail to even try and failure is assured, the pessimist is proved correct again.

Optimism, the pragmatic kind rather than the blindly naive kind anyway, is harder. Pragmatic optimism takes work and encourages *action* if one wants to increase the odds of success.

With this in mind, I have been reading David Deutsch's *The Beginning of Infinity*. The book makes a strong case for, as it calls it, *the principle of optimism*.

He distinguishes his principle of optimism from *blind optimism*, which he defines as “proceeding as is we know bad outcomes will not happen” and from blind pessimism, adherence to the precautionary principle, which he describes as “avoiding everything not known to be safe”. Rather, he defines his principle of optimism as understanding that “all evils are caused by insufficient knowledge.”

This represents a rare *positive* attitude to scientific advancement (also not a very popular position these days, when public and media sentiment is turning against tech companies, engineering and industry, bio-science advancements, and the scientific community at large).

As he explains, when we try and predict the likelihood of future disasters based on our *current* level of knowledge and technological capability (much like old Malthus did with his gloomy predictions of mass starvation and societal collapse arising from human population growth outstripping food production growth) we are bound to be overly pessimistic about the future. Of course we can't solve tomorrow's problems with today's tools; but we have a *very good chance* of solving tomorrow's problems with tomorrow's scientific breakthroughs, ideas and opportunities that we cannot even imagine right now — as long as we keep on learning and trying and testing.

Of course, the exact same principle of optimism applies to business. We don't even know what we will be capable of tomorrow.

## ABOUT BRONWYN WILLIAMS

Futurist, economist and trend analyst. Partner at Flux Trends.

■ #PulpNonFiction: Local books worth reading and gifting this holiday - 20 Dec 2021

■ #PulpNonFiction: In search of utopia - 13 Dec 2021

■ #PulpNonFiction: Free Britney and other true conspiracies - 6 Dec 2021

- #PulpNonFiction: On the contrary - 29 Nov 2021
- #PulpNonFiction: The tail of Pan narrans - 22 Nov 2021

[View my profile and articles...](#)

For more, visit: <https://www.bizcommunity.com>