

## Strong brands, discounted remuneration



1 Oct 2015

In the preface of his book, 4D Branding, Thomas Gad, founder of Futurebrands.com makes the following claim: Today, brands are not the preserve of the marketing department. Brands are too important to be left to the marketing department - or any other 'department' come to that. Organisational ghettoes do not create vibrant, world-changing brands...



© Rangizzz – 123RF.com

He goes on to say that where brand was once understood to be a marketing tool used to positively influence the attitude of consumers, it is now a management tool used to influence every aspect of the business, including marketing. It addresses all stakeholders, beyond customers: clients of business-to-business brands; investors; suppliers; dealers and, very importantly; employees.

Importantly, employees because their attitude is central to delivering the brand promise.

This was measurably reported on in an article in the *Harvard Business Review* (July - August 2015: Compensating - Strong Brands, Weak Pay) quoting research done by the London Business School.

Consulting's consumer surveys. They examined the data for 2000 to 2010, making more than 10,000 compensation-brand observations in all.

With each standard-deviation increase above the mean for brand strength, non-CEO executives earned, on average, 2% (about \$90,000) less a year. The effect was far larger for CEOs: Their pay dropped by 12% for each standard-deviation increase, saving their companies an average of \$1.3 million a year.

The conclusion is that employees are willing to "pay" for the positive association of working for a company represented by a strong brand in the same way that consumers will pay a premium for a prestigious brand that enhances their own image. This would explain the relative willingness of a CEO to "pay" even more because the kudos for running a strong brand is more than for working for one.

There are other reasons as well. A strong brand looks good on a CV and this was reflected in the research: younger employees who are beginning to build a career will take a more substantial salary sacrifice than older employees.

Doubtless another reason, in tough times especially, is recognition that a strong brand rides challenges more comfortably, offering job security.

Reading the article, I recalled a conversation I had with the managing director of a very successful contract publishing company. He told me that he had to pay a premium to his copywriters compared to that paid by strong brands like *Cosmopolitan*.

The brand champions that define, build and protect a company's brand must be the full executive of that company, ensuring that the brand addresses all stakeholders, not only the consumer.

## ABOUT JOHNNY JOHNSON

Johnny's role at TowerStone is to define clients' brand promise and find ways of helping leaders engage with employees in such a way that they are committed brand ambassadors.

Personal brand leads organisational brand - 30 Jul 2019

Strong brands, discounted remuneration - 1 Oct 2015

View my profile and articles...

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com